Third Member of Council.—Itinerant Courts will not work well.

Dewan.—Not practicable.

SUBJECT No. 78.

Establishment of Village Munsiffs' Courts in each Municipality.

Messrs. Gopalayya, Holalkere Taluk and Phaniyachar, Hosdurga Taluk.

Mr. Gopalayya.—Village Munsiffs' Courts may be established in all Municipal Towns for the disposal of petty suits.

Third Member of Council.—This will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 79.

Improvement of Village Munsiffs' Courts

Messrs. (1) Nadig Bhima Rao, Channagiri Taluk, and S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Taluk and (2) G.S. Murigeppa, Gubbi Taluk.

Mr. Sitharamayya.—With the popularity of Village Munsiffs, the work in some Courts has been steadily increasing and cannot be conveniently managed without the help of a clerk. A provision may therefore be made for a clerk on Rs. 10 where the work is heavy.

Third Member of Council.—Government have examined the question. There are 200 Courts and at Rs. 5 or 6 per Court the total expenditure comes to Rs. 10,000 or 12,000. If the concession is shown to one Court, other Courts will also ask for the same. If you are agreeable to pay half the cost, the matter will be considered.

Mr. Sitharamayya.—To create stimulus and earnestness in the Village Munsiffs, such of them as have worked satisfactorily may be given an honorarium.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao.—Honorary work should never be paid for.

Third Member of Council.—Not desirable.

SUBJECT No. 80.

Amendment of the Court Fees Regulation.

Messrs. (1) S. Krishnaswamy Rao, Linge Gowda and Channappa, Myscre Taluk; (2) C. Subba Rao and P. Venkappaiya, Shimoga District Board and G. M. Kadappa, Shimoga Municipality and (3) K. Subbaraya Chetty, Bowringpet Taluk.

Mr. S. Krishnaswami Rao.—The Court Fees Regulation may be amended so as to allow refund of half the Court fees in case of pauper suits. If the suit is admitted, the admission fee should be reduced by half.

Third Member of Council.—The matter is under the consideration of the Chief Court.

Mr. C. Subba Rao.—If by mistake excess stamps are paid on plaint appeal memo, they are not refunded. Provision may be made for refund in such cases.

Third Member of Council.—The matter will be considered.

Mr. Subbaraya Chetty.—With regard to Court fees, some concession may be shown to plaintiffs in Charitable Endowment suits, just as they show in the case of pauper suits or probate suits, giving at the same time all the advantages of Court fee paid suits.